

REMARKS

Applicants acknowledge the examiner's affirmation that the product of claim 25 is allowable over the prior art. To expedite prosecution of the instant application, applicants have amended the claims to focus the application on the product of claim 25. In this regard, applicants have cancelled claims directed to other embodiments and rejoined withdrawn process claims (*i.e.* methods of making and methods of using) that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim. *See* MPEP §821.04. Applicants, however, specifically reserve the right to pursue the cancelled subject matter in one or more continuing applications.

Claims 1-29 have been cancelled, and claims 30-43 have been added. Accordingly, claims 30-43 are now pending in this application. The following claim chart is provided for the examiner's benefit.

Current Claim	Derived from Prior Claim
30	25
31	25
32	11
33	12
34	13
35	14
36	16
37	21
38	22
39	27
40	27
41	27
42	29
43	29

Applicants respectfully request reconsideration of the present application in view of the foregoing amendments and in view of the reasons that follow.

I. REJECTIONS UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 112 ¶2

The examiner rejects claims 1-9 and 25 under 35 U.S.C. §112, ¶2, for alleged indefiniteness. Applicants believe the amendments entered herein obviate the rejection and, therefore, request that the rejection be withdrawn.

II. REJECTIONS UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 102

The examiner rejects claims 1-8 under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as allegedly being anticipated by Dietrich. The examiner also rejects claims 1-8 under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as allegedly being anticipated by Berneman "in light of Kimball." The examiner further rejects claims 1-3 and 9 under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as allegedly being anticipated by Franek.

As applicants have cancelled claims 1-9, the rejection is now moot. Accordingly, applicants request that the rejection be withdrawn.

Applicant believes that the present application is now in condition for allowance. Favorable reconsideration of the application as amended is respectfully requested.

The Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned by telephone if it is felt that a telephone interview would advance the prosecution of the present application.

Respectfully submitted,

Date Aug. 5, 2005

By Stephen B. Maebius

FOLEY & LARDNER LLP
Washington Harbour
3000 K Street, N.W., Suite 500
Washington, D.C. 20007-5143
Telephone: (202) 672-5571
Facsimile: (202) 672-5399

Stephen B. Maebius
Attorney for Applicant
Registration No. 35,264